

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

RONALD SATISH EMRIT,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	CIV-15-1109-D
	)	
TATE MUSIC GROUP, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

**REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**

With his Complaint filed October 5, 2015, Plaintiff has filed a motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* and supporting affidavit. The matter has been referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge for initial proceedings consistent with 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1)(B). Having reviewed said motion and affidavit, the undersigned finds that Plaintiff has sufficient financial resources to pay the filing fee. Because he does not qualify for authorization to proceed without prepayment of the filing fee, Plaintiff's motion should be denied, and he should be required to pay the full filing fee for this action to proceed.

**RECOMMENDATION**

Based on the foregoing findings, it is recommended that the motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* be DENIED and the action be dismissed without prejudice unless Plaintiff pays the full filing fee to the Clerk of the Court by October 26<sup>th</sup>, 2015. Plaintiff is advised of his right to file an objection to this Report and Recommendation with

the Clerk of this Court by \_\_\_\_\_ October 26<sup>th</sup>, 2015, in accordance with 28 U.S.C. §636 and LCvR 72.1. The failure to timely object to this Report and Recommendation would waive appellate review of the recommended ruling. Moore v. United States of America, 950 F.2d 656 (10th Cir. 1991); cf. Marshall v. Chater, 75 F.3d 1421, 1426 (10<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1996) (“Issues raised for the first time in objections to the magistrate judge’s recommendation are deemed waived.”).

This Report and Recommendation disposes of all issues referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge in the captioned matter.

ENTERED this 6<sup>th</sup> day of \_\_\_\_\_ October, 2015.

  
GARY M. PURCELL  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE